



ЦЕНТЪР ЗА ОБУЧЕНИЕ – БАН

1000 София
ул. „Сердика“ № 4
<http://edu.bas.bg>

email: tdc-phd@cu.bas.bg
тел.: 02 987 31 67
02 979 52 60

Basic Information:

Course Title: Reproductive ethics

Lecturer: prof. Stoyan Stavru, assistant prof. Lyuboslava Kostova

Phone: 0876278085

Email: Kostova.lyuboslava@ips.bas.bg

Total Teaching Hours: 120

Annotation (up to 150 words)

The purpose of this course is to introduce basic concepts and currents in reproductive ethics. To create the skills to analyze and reconstruct the most contemporary reproductive practices and the processes involved in their dissemination and implementation. Special emphasis will be placed on the reproductive decision-making process and its attendant dilemmas.

.....

.....

Course content (brief description by topics or modules)

Topic / Module 1: Medicalization as biopolitical process

Topic / Module 2: Medicalization of reproduction

Topic / Module 3: Biotechnological discourse

Teaching and assessment methods

Lectures,

Seminars

Competencies acquired as a result of training (3–5 points)

Students who successfully complete this course will know:

- Key concepts and trends in the development of reproductive ethics.
- Key methods and approaches for resolving case studies.
- Key trends in the development of reproductive practices.
- The implications of new reproductive technologies for reproductive processes previously regarded as natural, such as pregnancy, motherhood, and parenthood.

They will be able to:

- Engage with these concepts.
- Analyze bioethical case studies.



ЦЕНТЪР ЗА ОБУЧЕНИЕ – БАН

1000 София
ул. „Сердика“ № 4
<http://edu.bas.bg>

email: tdc-phd@cu.bas.bg
тел.: 02 987 31 67
02 979 52 60

- Examine the main reproductive processes and practices from the perspective of the biopolitical parameters within which they are situated.
- Analyze the degree of autonomy in the process of choice and decision-making regarding specific reproductive practices.

Literature:

- Асенова, Ася. Морални проблеми при оплождане ин витро. Непубликуван дисертационен труд, София, 2007.
- Димитрова, Елица. Биополитическото конструиране на пола през периода на социализма в България. В: Социологически проблеми, бр. 1-2, София, 2006, 121–140.
- Жекова, В. 2002 Социални норми и традиции в репродуктивното поведение на българите през втората половина на XX век, София
- Коцева, Т., Тодорова, И. 2005. Социално конструиране на безплодието в българското общество. Социологически проблеми. 3-4: 215-243
- Кънева, Валентина. Граници на биоетиката, София, изд. СУ, 2013.
- Любе, Вайма. Пренаталната и предимплантационна селекция след генен тест като проблем на дискриминацията. В: Социологически проблеми, бр. 1-2, София, 2006, 243–252.
- О’Нийл, Онора. Репродуктивна автономия и нови технологии. В: Автономия и биоетика. ч.1, В. Кънева (съст.), , София, КХ-Критика и хуманизъм, 2011
- Ставру, Стоян. Видения в кутията на Пандора, София, Феня, 2014. т.1 и т.2.
- Тодоров, Христо. Какво е допустимо да се прави с ембриони? Германският случай. В: Социологически проблеми. 1-2, 2006, 229–243.
- Фукуяма, Фр. Нашето постчовешко бъдеще. Последниците от биотехнологичната революция. ОБСИДИАН, София
- Хабермас, Юрген. Бъдещето на човешката природа, София, Идея, 2004.



ЦЕНТЪР ЗА ОБУЧЕНИЕ – БАН

1000 София
ул. „Сердика“ № 4
<http://edu.bas.bg>

email: tdc-phd@cu.bas.bg
тел.: 02 987 31 67
02 979 52 60

-
- Христова, Станка. От Франкенщайн до Доли. Морал и изкуствена прокреация, София, изд. къща „Христо Ботев“, 1999.
 - Христова, Станка. Биоетичните решения, София, Авангард Прима, 2014.
 - Христова, Станка. Етически измерения на биотехнологичните неравенства. В: Етика и развитие, Велико Търново, Фабер, 2015.
 - Цварт, Х. Геномика и самопознание. Следствие за обществените изследвания и дебати. В: Социологически проблеми 1-2, 2006, 46-74.

II. На английски език:

- Almond, Brenda (2008). *The Fragmenting Family*. New York: Oxford University Press,
- Becker, Gay (2000) *The Elusive Embryo: How Men and Women Approach New Reproductive Technologies*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press,.
- Brubaker, S. J. and H. E. Dillaway (2009). Medicalization, Natural Childbirth and Birthing Experiences, *Sociology Compass* 3, 31–48.
- Buchanan, A., Brock, D. W., Daniels, N., & Wikler, D. (2000). *From Chance to Choice: Genetics and Justice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Callahan, J. C., ed. (1996). *Reproduction, Ethics, and the Law: Feminist Perspectives*. Indianapolis: Indiana University Press,.
- Chadwick, Ruth, ed. (1994). *Ethics, Reproduction and Genetic Control*. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge,.
- Christiaens, W. and E. van Teijlingen (2009). Four Meanings of Medicalization: Childbirth as a Case Study. *Salute e societa* 8, 123–142.
- Conrad, P. (1992). Medicalization and Social Control. *Annual Review of Sociology* 18, 209–232.



ЦЕНТЪР ЗА ОБУЧЕНИЕ – БАН

1000 София
ул. „Сердика“ № 4
<http://edu.bas.bg>

email: tdc-phd@cu.bas.bg
тел.: 02 987 31 67
02 979 52 60

-
- De Vries, R., Benoit, C., van Teijlingen, E. and Wrede, S., eds. (2001). *Birth by Design: Pregnancy, Maternity Care, and Midwifery in North America and Europe*. New York: Routledge.
 - Dickens, B (eds). (2006). *Reproductive health. Case studies with ethical commentary*. Unesco,.
 - Edwards, J., Franklin S., Hirsch E., Price F., and Strathern M. (1999). *Technologies of Procreation: Kinship in the Age of Assisted Conception*. New York: Routledge,
 - Ettore, E. (2005). The Sociology of New Genetics. Conceptualizing the Links between Reproduction, Gender and Bodies. In: *Genetic Governance: Health, Risk and Ethics in the Biotech Era*. Routledge.
 - EUROCAT. (2010). *EUROCAT Special report; Prenatal screening Policies in Europe*.
 - Field, M. A (1990). *Surrogate Motherhood: The Legal and Human Issues*. Cambridge, MA: First Harvard University Press,.
 - Gibson, S. Abortion. In: *Enciclopedia of Applied Ethics*, Academic Press, London, vol. 1, p. 1-8
 - Glover, J. (2006). *Choosing Children: Genes, Disability, and Design*. New York: Oxford University Press,.
 - Harris, J., Holm, S.(eds.) (2000). *The future of Human Reproduction: Ethics, Choice, and Regulation*. New York: Oxford University Press
 - Inhorn, Marcia C and Frank van Balen, eds. (2002). *Infertility around the Globe: New Thinking on Childlessness, Gender, and Reproductive Technologies*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press,.
 - Isasi, R.M., Knoppers. B. M. (2006). *National Regulatory Frameworks Regarding Human Reproductive Genetic Testing*. University of Montreal.
 - Knowles, Lori P. and Gregory K. Kaebnick, eds. (2000). *Reprogenetics: Law, Policy and Ethical Issues*. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press,.



ЦЕНТЪР ЗА ОБУЧЕНИЕ – БАН

1000 София
ул. „Сердика“ № 4
<http://edu.bas.bg>

email: tdc-phd@cu.bas.bg
тел.: 02 987 31 67
02 979 52 60

-
- Leuzinger-Bohleber, M., Engels, E-M., Tsiantis, J. (eds.) (2008). The Janus Face of Prenatal Diagnostics – a European study bridging ethics, psychoanalysis and medicine. Karnac Books, London.
 - Lock, M and V. Nguyen (2010) An Anthropology of Biomedicine. Wiley Blackwell, London.
 - Mahowald, M. (2006). Bioethics and women. Across the life span. Oxford university press,
 - Martin, E. (1987). The Woman in the Body: A Cultural Analysis of Reproduction. Boston: Beacon Press.
 - McLeod, C., (2002), *Self-Trust and Reproductive Autonomy*, Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press
 - Mills, C. (2011) *Futures of Reproduction: Bioethics and Biopolitics*. New York: Springer, Mills, C. (1999). The ethics of reproductive control, The philosophical forum XXX, 1,.
 - Murphy, M. (2012). Seizing the Means of Reproduction: Entanglements of Feminism, Health and Technoscience, Durham: Duke University Press.
 - Parens, E. and A. Asch. (eds.) (2000). *Prenatal Testing and Disability Rights*, Washington DC: Georgetown University Press.
 - Rapp, R. (2000) *Testing Women, Testing the Fetus: The Social Impact of Amniocentesis in America*. New York: Routledge, Raymond, Janice G. (1993). *Women as Wombs: Reproductive Technologies and the Battle over Women's Freedom*. New York: HarperCollins,
 - Schoen, J. (2005). *Choice and Coercion: Birth Control, Sterilization, and Abortion in Public Health and Welfare*. Chapel Hill, NC: The University of North Carolina Press
 - Steinbock, B. "Why Most Abortions are Not Wrong." In Ethical Issues in Modern Medicine (see Pope above). pp. 471-482.
 - Widdows, H., Idiakez, I., Girion, A. (2006). Women's Reproductive Rights, Women's Rights in Europe Series, Palgrave Macmillan



ЦЕНТЪР ЗА ОБУЧЕНИЕ – БАН

1000 София
ул. „Сердика“ № 4
<http://edu.bas.bg>

email: tdc-phd@cu.bas.bg
тел.: 02 987 31 67
02 979 52 60

-
- Zola, Irving Kenneth. (1972). Medicine as an Institution of Social Control, The Sociological Review 20, 487–504.

-

.....
.....

.....
.....
.....

Additional information (optional) (e.g., special requirements, laboratory equipment, prior knowledge)

.....
.....
.....
.....